Quantum expander codes

Antoine Grospellier, Anthony Leverrier, Omar Fawzi

Quantum expander codes

Antoine Grospellier & Anthony Leverrier & Omar Fawzi

27 avril 2017

Content of the talk

The hypergraph product of an expander code :

- is an LDPC quantum code
- has a constant rate
- has a minimal distance : $d = \Theta(\sqrt{n})$

The decoding algorithm :

- has a capacity of correction : $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$
- **corrects the error with high probability for the depolarizing channel**

Content of the talk

Plan

Definition : classical error correcting codes

$\mathcal{C}$ is a $[n, k]$-error correcting code if :

- $\mathcal{C}$ is a k-dimensional subspace of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$

Definition : classical error correcting codes

$\mathcal{C}$ is a $[n, k]$-error correcting code if :

- $\mathcal{C}$ is a k-dimensional subspace of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$

Definition : parity check matrix of a code

$H \in \mathcal{M}_{n-k,n}$ is a parity check matrix for $\mathcal{C}$ if :

$$c \in \mathcal{C} \Leftrightarrow H \cdot c = 0$$

Tanner graph of a code

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



Classical expander codes [Sipser & Spielman, '96]

Theorem [Sipser & Spielman, '96]

We can construct a good family $(\mathcal{C}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $[n, k, d]$-error correcting codes. Here "Good" means :

- This family is LDPC
- k and d are linear in n
- There exists an efficient correcting algorithm

Remark

Good expander codes can be found efficiently by picking a random biregular graph

Decoding algorithm

- Error : $e_0 = \{0, 1, 2\}$
- Unsatisfied check-nodes (syndrome) : $\{10, 12, 14, 19\}$
- Satisfied check-nodes : $\{11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18\}$



Decoding algorithm

- INPUT : syndrome
- The error e_0 is unknown
- OUTPUT : e a set of bits
- GOAL : $e = e_0$
- The algorithm flips a bit when it decreases the syndrome



Decoding algorithm : first example



Decoding algorithm : first example



Decoding algorithm : first example



Decoding algorithm : first example



Decoding algorithm : second example



Decoding algorithm : second example



Decoding algorithm : second example



Decoding algorithm : second example



Plan

From classical to quantum error correcting codes

- Bit : $b \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
- Q-bit : $|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $\| |\psi\rangle \|_2 = 1$

From classical to quantum error correcting codes

- Bit : $b \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
- A $[n, k]$-code is a k-dimensional subspace of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$

- Q-bit : $|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $\| |\psi\rangle \|_2 = 1$
- A $[\![n, k]\!]$-code is a 2^k-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{2^n}$

From classical to quantum error correcting codes

- Bit : $b \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
- A $[n, k]$-code is a k-dimensional subspace of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$
- Classical error : Flip

X-Pauli error : $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

- Q-bit : $|\psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $\| |\psi\rangle \|_2 = 1$
- A $[\![n, k]\!]$-code is a 2^k-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{2^n}$
- Quantum error : X-Pauli error Z-Pauli error

Z-Pauli error : $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

From classical to quantum error correcting codes

CSS codes : Calderbank, Shor and Steane

We can construct a quantum error correcting code using $\mathcal{C}_X$ and $\mathcal{C}_Z$ two classical error correcting codes such that $\mathcal{C}_X^{\perp} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_Z$

Remark

The difficulty for constructing a quantum error correcting code is to find two classical codes which are orthogonal

Remark

The difficulty for constructing a quantum error correcting code is to find two classical codes which are orthogonal

Hypergraph product [Tillich & Zémor, '09]

Using a classical code $\mathcal{C}$, we can construct a $[\![n, k, d]\!]$-CSS code with :

- $k = \Theta(n)$
- $d = \Theta(\sqrt{n})$: we can correct any error on d Q-bits

Remark

The difficulty for constructing a quantum error correcting code is to find two classical codes which are orthogonal

Hypergraph product [Tillich & Zémor, '09]

Using a classical code $\mathcal{C}$, we can construct a $[\![n, k, d]\!]$-CSS code with :

- $k = \Theta(n)$
- $d = \Theta(\sqrt{n})$: we can correct any error on d Q-bits

Theorem [Leverrier & Tillich & Zémor, '15]

For the hypergraph product of an expander code ($\epsilon < 1/6$) :
There is an efficient decoding algorithm for this code.
This algorithm corrects any error of size $\leq \Theta(\sqrt{n})$
This algorithm is very close to the algorithm of Sipser and Spielman

Plan

Our work

- **Question :** What happens for random errors of size $\Theta(n)$?
- **Depolarizing channel :** each Q-bit has an X-type error (resp. Z-type error) with probabilty p independently

Our work

- **Question :** What happens for random errors of size $\Theta(n)$?
- **Depolarizing channel :** each Q-bit has an X-type error (resp. Z-type error) with probabilty p independently

Theorem : what we proved

For a probability of error $p < \frac{1}{(ed)^{2d}}$:

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A} \text{ corrects the error}) = 1$$

Our work

- **Question :** What happens for random errors of size $\Theta(n)$?
- **Depolarizing channel :** each Q-bit has an X-type error (resp. Z-type error) with probabilty p independently

Theorem : what we proved

For a probability of error $p < \frac{1}{(ed)^{2d}}$:

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A} \text{ corrects the error}) = 1$$

Idea : The algorithm is local :

- If two errors are far they don't interact
- The initial error can be decomposed in clusters of size $O(ln(n))$
- If there is no cluster of size $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$ during the algorithm, the error will be corrected

A motivation : fault-tolerant quantum computation

Threshold Theorem [Ben-Or & Aharonov, '97]

We can simulate a quantum circuit with perfect gates by a circuit with noisy gates of size **quasi-linear**

Theorem : what we proved

For a probability of error $p < \frac{1}{(ed)^{2d}}$:

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A} \text{ corrects the error}) = 1$$

What we hope to prove using [Gottesman, '13]

We can simulate a quantum circuit with perfect gates by a circuit with noisy gates of size **linear**

Locality of the algorithm : the bit-graph












5 < Θ(ln(n))

In the following, we will say whp P (with high probability the property P holds) if : $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathbb{P}(P) = 1$

In the following, we will say whp P (with high probability the property P holds) if : $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathbb{P}(P) = 1$

Percolation Theorem

For a probability of error $p < \frac{1}{1+d}$, whp :

- The size of any connected components is $\leq \Theta(ln(n))$

For a probability of error $p > \frac{1}{1+d}$, whp :

- There is a connected component of size $\Theta(n)$

In the following, we will say whp P (with high probability the property P holds) if : $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathbb{P}(P) = 1$

Percolation Theorem

For a probability of error $p < \frac{1}{1+d}$, whp :

- The size of any connected components is $\leq \Theta(ln(n))$

For a probability of error $p > \frac{1}{1+d}$, whp :

- There is a connected component of size $\Theta(n)$

Good news :

The algorithm corrects any error of size $\leq \Theta(\sqrt{n})$

The algorithm corrects any error of size $\leq \Theta(ln(n))$

In the following, we will say whp P (with high probability the property P holds) if : $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathbb{P}(P) = 1$

Percolation Theorem

For a probability of error $p < \frac{1}{1+d}$, whp :

- The size of any connected components is $\leq \Theta(ln(n))$

For a probability of error $p > \frac{1}{1+d}$, whp :

- There is a connected component of size $\Theta(n)$

Good news :

The algorithm corrects any error of size $\leq \Theta(\sqrt{n})$

The algorithm corrects any error of size $\leq \Theta(ln(n))$

Problem : Some clusters can merge during the decoding











Percolation Theorem

For a probability of error $p < \frac{1}{1+d}$, whp :

- The size of any connected components is $\leq \Theta(ln(n))$

Percolation Theorem

For a probability of error $p < \frac{1}{1+d}$, whp :

- The size of any connected components is $\leq \Theta(ln(n))$

Percolation theorem, reformulation

For a probability of error $p < \frac{1}{1+d}$, whp :

- if $|X \cap E(p)| \geq 1 \times |X|$ then $|X| < \Theta(ln(n))$

Percolation Theorem

For a probability of error $p < \frac{1}{1+d}$, whp :

- The size of any connected components is $\leq \Theta(ln(n))$

Percolation theorem, reformulation

For a probability of error $p < \frac{1}{1+d}$, whp :

- if $|X \cap E(p)| \geq 1 \times |X|$ then $|X| < \Theta(ln(n))$

Percolation theorem, generalisation

$\forall \alpha > 0$, if $p < cst(\alpha, d)$, whp :

- if $|X \cap E(p)| \geq \alpha \times |X|$ then $|X| < \Theta(ln(n))$

Percolation Theorem

For a probability of error $p < \frac{1}{1+d}$, whp :

- The size of any connected components is $\leq \Theta(ln(n))$

Percolation theorem, reformulation

For a probability of error $p < \frac{1}{1+d}$, whp :

- if $|X \cap E(p)| \geq 1 \times |X|$ then $|X| < \Theta(ln(n))$

Percolation theorem, generalisation

$\forall \alpha > 0$, if $p < cst(\alpha, d)$, whp :

- if $|X \cap E(p)| \geq \alpha \times |X|$ then $|X| < \Theta(ln(n))$

Complexity of the decoding algorithm

The number of flips is linear in the size of the initial error










< Θ(log(n))

Theorem

For a probability of error $p < \frac{1}{(ed)^{2d}}$:

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A} \text{ corrects the error}) = 1$$

Theorem

For a probability of error $p < \frac{1}{(ed)^{2d}}$:

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A} \text{ corrects the error}) = 1$$

Ideas to improve this bound :

1. Improve the bound in the percolation theorem : What is the critical probability ?
2. Restrict the proof to interesting clusters :
   * The diameter of an interesting cluster is $\leq \Theta(ln(ln(n)))$
   * The number of edges inside an interesting cluster is large (ideas from bootstrap percolation)

Conclusion

The hypergraph product of an expander code :

- is an LDPC quantum code
- has a constant rate
- has a minimal distance : $d = \Theta(\sqrt{n})$

The decoding algorithm :

- has a capacity of correction : $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$
- corrects the error with high probability for the depolarizing channel

Futur work :

- improve our bound
- apply this result to fault tolerant quantum computation (Gottesman)

Thank you for your attention